UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (HOUSTON)

Case No. 20-33948

Chapter 11 IN RE:

(Jointly Administered)

FIELDWOOD ENERGY, LLC and the

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED . 515 Rusk Street CREDITORS,

Debtors.

Houston, TX 77002

Monday, December 14, 2020

. 2:14 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER (I) CLARIFYING ITS DISCLOSURE OBLIGATIONS, (II) APPROVING PROTOCOL FOR PROVIDING ACCESS TO INFORMATION TO UNSECURED CREDITORS AND (III) RETAINING PRIME CLERK LLC AS INFORMATION AGENT, EFFECTIVE AS OF SEPTEMBER 1, 2020 [401]

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

Unsecured Creditors:

Official Committee of Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LL BY: KENNETH PASQUALE, ESQ.

JACK IAFFALDANO, ESQ. 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038

(212) 806-5400

Audio Operator: Claudia Gutierrez, ECR

Transcription Company: Access Transcripts, LLC

10110 Youngwood Lane Fishers, IN 46038 (855) 873-2223

www.accesstranscripts.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

```
(Proceedings commenced at 2:14 p.m.)
 1
             THE COURT: It is 20-33948.
 2
 3
        (Pause)
                         Mr. Pasquale, I've got you and I've got a
 4
             THE COURT:
 5 couple of other people. So let's start with you, Mr. Pasquale. 5 couple of other people.
 6
             MR. PASQUALE: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Kenneth
  Pasquale, Stroock & Stroock on behalf of the creditors'
   committee. I'd like to introduce to Your Honor my colleague,
   Jack Iaffaldano, who will be presenting the motion this
10 afternoon.
11
             THE COURT: All right. I can see him yet.
12
             MR. PASOUALE: He should be on camera. I see he's
13 not.
14
             THE COURT: We'll give him a minute.
15
             MR. PASQUALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: I have someone else on the phone that --
   here's one more person. Yes. Who else do we have on the phone
18 in the Fieldwood case? Yes?
19
             UNIDENTIFIED: Your Honor, can you hear me?
20
             THE COURT: Sorry. Go ahead again.
21
             MR. IAFFALDANO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
                                                            This is
   Jack Iaffaldano of Stroock & Stroock & Lavan for the creditors'
23
   committee. And I'm having some trouble connecting my camera.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. Do you want me to let you take a
25∥ moment? I can probably tell you the most common problem that
```

we have or do you want to work through it on your own? 2 MR. IAFFALDANO: Sure. That would be great. 3 THE COURT: The most common problem we have is you $4\parallel$ were on Zoom earlier today. And Zoom still has your camera 5 captured. So if you'll do an Alt -- are you on a Windows-based 6 computer? 7 MR. IAFFALDANO: I am. Nothing on Zoom, so I don't think that's the issue for sure. 8 9 THE COURT: Or on any sort of account-sharing app? Anything that used your camera? 10 11 MR. IAFFALDANO: I don't believe so. 12 THE COURT: Interesting. 13 MR. IAFFALDANO: I think --14 THE COURT: We'll work through it. Did you get here 15 through an app or how did you get here? 16 MR. IAFFALDANO: I'm in the browser version of GoToMeeting. And now it's saying my camera's blocked. THE COURT: So let me get you to --18 19 MR. IAFFALDANO: I'm trying to handle that. 20 THE COURT: I can see that you're sitting there, just 21 without a camera usage. I have not blocked your camera. Why don't you go ahead and do this? Download the app and get out 23 of the browser and then come in through the app. And that will 24 usually fix the problem that you're describing. So I'm going

25∥ to go ahead and remove you from the app for now -- I'm sorry --

 $1 \parallel$ from the connection. And then if you will download the app 2 onto your computer and then we'll just wait for you to get back in. Does that work?

MR. IAFFALDANO: Sure, Your Honor. I actually had 5 already downloaded it, and it -- I tried to connect earlier and $6\parallel$ it said that it was unable to connect. So that's why I actually went over to the browser version.

THE COURT: That's interesting it's not letting me disconnect you. Weird. I don't know what's going on. This 10 \parallel could be my problem. I'm going to come back in. For those of 11 you that are here on the GoToMeeting, I'm going to -- oh, wait. 12 No, there it is. I'm sorry. Okay, so I've got you removed. Why don't you try coming in now through the app and tell me what happens on the app.

(Pause)

3

4

8

9

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: This is one of the few virtues I've tried to learn through COVID is to be patient with technology 18 problems. So you're not going to have me having a hard time 19∥ with you. We'll just take our time and work through it.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate that.

(Pause)

THE COURT: So what's the app doing with this? MR. IAFFALDANO: It say starting the GoTo. I just 25 have a full loading bar kind of like --

```
THE COURT: Okay. Good.
 1
             MR. IAFFALDANO: But --
 2
 3
        (Pause)
             THE COURT: If this doesn't work, I'm going to order
 4
 5 Mr. Pasquale to buy you a new computer.
 6
        (Laughter)
 7
             MR. PASQUALE: Yes, sir.
             MR. IAFFALDANO: I'm not exactly sure what's going
 8
 9
        It just says -- it has the full loading bar, and it says
10
   starting GoTo, but nothing's happening.
11
             THE COURT: Do an Alt, Control, Delete, and get out
12\parallel of GoTo all together, if you would. And then start over.
13
             MR. IAFFALDANO: Oh, sure.
14
             THE COURT: I'm somehow wondering if your camera
15 | hasn't given permissions, but I assume that's not it.
16
             MR. IAFFALDANO: Okay. There's no -- it does get me
   back in the meeting or (indiscernible) the ID number.
18 just Judge Isgur, correct?
19
             THE COURT: Correct. All one word, no spaces.
20
        (Pause)
21
             MR. IAFFALDANO: Yeah. So it's doing the same thing
22∥ it had previously done which is it does verifying meeting for
   quite some time and then it says "unable to join session."
24
             THE COURT: And what did you put in as the meeting
25 name?
```

MR. IAFFALDANO: Judge Isgur one word. 1 2 THE COURT: Huh. Okay, one more try at this and then we'll figure out an alternative. Go ahead and do Alt, Control, 3 Delete and get out of it and do not get back in it. 5 (Pause) 6 THE COURT: And once you're out of it, I want you to 7 go to www.txs.uscourts.gov. 8 MR. IAFFALDANO: Sorry, you said gas.uscourts.gov? 9 THE COURT: Txs -- Texas Southern -- .uscourts.gov. 10 MR. IAFFALDANO: Sorry. 11 THE COURT: That's okay. 12 MR. IAFFALDANO: Okay. 13 THE COURT: Go to bankruptcy court, and then go to my 14 homepage. And there should be a link to GoToMeeting. 15 MR. IAFFALDANO: Sure. THE COURT: Yeah. And just click on that GoToMeeting 16 link. Let's see if that works. MR. IAFFALDANO: And the Judge Isgur's proceeding --18 19 Procedures? 20 THE COURT: Yeah. 21 MR. IAFFALDANO: Sorry. 22 THE COURT: No, not in my Procedures. On my 23 homepage. It should be right next to the Procedures page in 24 that little table.

Did you find it?

MR. IAFFALDANO: I'm not seeing anything on the 1 2 homepage for your name. 3 THE COURT: Hold on. Bankruptcy court. Sorry, 4 Bankruptcy Court, Judge's Procedures, and then go to View 5 homepage next to Judge Isgur. MR. IAFFALDANO: Oh, I see it. 6 7 THE COURT: Sorry, my fault. And then on that page, 8 about a quarter of the way down, it says "click here to connect 9 to GoToMeeting video link." Do you see that or --10 MR. IAFFALDANO: Yeah, it's nothing after the verify 11 \parallel the meeting ID. I'm also going to try it on a different web 12 browser. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, if this doesn't work, I'm 14 either going to, you know, continue the hearing for a couple of 15 | hours and come back or let you start without the video link,

16 whichever one you want to do. And there's no hurry either way. I've got time this afternoon to hear you later.

Ah, you just came in.

MR. PASQUALE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're on the system.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Can you see me now?

THE COURT: I can't see you. It says "no camera." But I can see you with a little camera link. And I see your initials. But it's still not grabbing your camera, huh?

MR. IAFFALDANO: (Indiscernible).

18

19

20

21

22

3

7

11

15

17

18

21

23

24

THE COURT: So I'm going to give you your choice. 2 You can either proceed without a camera or we'll come back at 4:30 and by then, you know, if you restart your computer, 4 assumingly, presumably, you'll have this working or get your 5 tech people there. But I sort of used up all of my marbles in 6 terms of how I know to talk you through it.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Whatever you'd prefer, Your Honor. $8 \parallel \text{I}$ see a lot of people have joined. So I'm more than happy to proceed with just audio to not waste any more or that much 10 time.

THE COURT: You're not wasting time. I will tell you 12 I have concerns with what you're doing, and I'm going to want to spend some time talking through it because I think we need to really narrowly tailor anything that deals with a statute like this. And I'm not sure that it's as narrow as what I'm comfortable with. So you might prefer to be looking at me.

> MR. IAFFALDANO: Certainly.

THE COURT: But I'm going to leave that up to you. 19∥But I'm perfectly happy to come back in a couple of hours and 20 deal with it two hours from now.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Well, I can see you. I can see you, Your Honor. It's whether or not I'm visible.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. PASQUALE: Why don't we do that, Your Honor. 25∥ It's Ken Pasquale. Why don't we come back at I think you said

```
1 4:30, your time?
 2
             THE COURT: If that works for y'all. And I'm sorry
 3 \parallel to do that, but since I don't -- I can't figure out the
 4 problem, I --
 5
             MR. PASQUALE: No, no.
             THE COURT: I've got them authorized. I think this
 6
 7
   is -- this is your problem, Ken.
             MR. PASQUALE: I think it's on our end. We'll just
 8
 9
   (indiscernible) come back.
             THE COURT: No, I don't think it's on your end. I
10
11 think it's on the partner's, so I'm going to blame you for it
12 personally.
13
             MR. PASQUALE: Okay. Understood, Your Honor. I'll
14 accept that responsibility.
15
             THE COURT: All right. We'll see y'all at 4:30.
16 Thank you.
17
             MR. PASQUALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
             MR. IAFFALDANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
        (Recess taken at 2:28 p.m.)
19
20
        (Proceedings resumed at 4:29 p.m)
21
             THE COURT: All right. Let's go back on the record
22 on the Fieldwood Energy case. It's 20-33948.
23
             Mr. Iaffaldano, why don't you go ahead, please, sir.
24
             MR. IAFFALDANO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jack
25∥ Iaffaldano of Stroock & Stroock & Lavan for the Fieldwood
```

1 creditors' committee. My apologies for the technology issues $2 \parallel$ earlier. I believe we managed to get it sorted out without the $3 \parallel$ need for Mr. Pasquale to buy me a new laptop. Are you able to see and hear me?

THE COURT: I tried anyway, Mr. Iaffaldano.

MR. IAFFALDANO: I appreciate that. Thank you.

Your Honor --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

17

19

20

23

THE COURT: Before we get into the substance of this --

MR. IAFFALDANO: Sure.

THE COURT: -- would you take just a minute and 12∥ educate me in case that's something I can talk somebody else 13 through next time? How'd you solve the problem?

MR. IAFFALDANO: It was actually an internal IT security issue. I had to authorize my webcam to be usable through the web browser.

THE COURT: Oh, so it was Mr. Pasquale's fault. 18 was right.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Technically, yes.

THE COURT: All right. Then I don't think I can talk 21 people through that if it was something he's doing. Why don't 22 you move ahead.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Your Honor, the Committee filed this 24 motion pursuant to Section 1102 of the Bankruptcy Code to 25 clarify information-sharing obligations to unsecured creditors

who are not on the Committee. As you know, Section 1102 is 2 unclear about the specifics in the disclosure requirements both 3 with respect to the nature of the information that should be 4 shared as well as the means by which the Committee should $5 \parallel \text{provide those information.}$ So the relief we are requesting $6\,\parallel$ here is just meant to define the scope of the Committee's legal responsibility in that regard.

I know when we mentioned this earlier today, Your Honor mentioned concerns regarding the scope of the motion. 10 We're also aware that this Court has previously expressed concerns regarding the due process implications of improper 12 \parallel service of this type of motion. I'd be happy to try to assuage those concerns and answer any questions Your Honor may have regarding you hear the motion itself. (Indiscernible) serve it on as many unsecured creditors as possible.

THE COURT: So it looks to me like that you've overcome the service problem. So let me -- first of all, let 18∥ me tell you I think that in 2005 when Congress made this change 19 that we need to do our best to recognize the change which wasn't very unpopular I think with folks but to be sure we implement it. That doesn't mean that we should expose people to undue risk for foot faults in it. But I want to be careful to the largest extent possible we accomplish both what it was 24 clear that Congress wanted to do which is to be sure that your 25∥ constituents got information and, you know, not putting people

8

9

15

16

20

1 through undue risks.

2

9

10

20

24

25

So really what I want to talk you through are just 3 what may sound like minor issues to you but they're significant 4 to me because they tend to move us away from what I think 5 Congress intended, even if they sound a bit picky. And so I 6 really intend to have more of a discussion with you. I don't think anyone has objected to this. Just kind of go through some of the provisions that I thought maybe we should pare it back some of the stuff.

So the first one is the way that I think this works 11 is a beneficiary who wants information has to negotiate with 12 you for 30 days before they can come to me and complain about 13 not getting the information. I didn't know at all why we would 14 do that. I don't know why they -- you know, it's a good idea 15 for them to confer with you, but I don't even know why it needs to be in the order. Let's say somebody is so angry at you that they want to go to the emergency hearing the next day so they could get the data. Why would I ever restrict them in terms of 19 where Congress is trying to go?

And I'm going to put you a bit on the spot on a number of things like this. So just why are we going this far and why do we -- why do y'all need it and why is it appropriate? And there's probably no case law about this, so I'm going to put you out on your own on this.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Absolutely, Your Honor. I think

1 practically speaking, we probably would respond much sooner 2 \parallel than 30 days. I think that's just we placed a maximum there $3 \parallel$ really to place the onus on us to respond in a timely manner. $4 \parallel$ But if you feel that it should be -- enough time should be 5 required for a response, we're happy to adjust that or --THE COURT: It's not that I think 30 days is an

unreasonable response time for you. I'm not sure why it 8 prohibits somebody from -- you're not prohibited from coming to court ever for 30 days. Why am I prohibiting some poor 10 shareholder in Missouri, you know, from coming in and 11 complaining to me about what you're doing to make them go 12∥through a negotiation with you if they just want to come 13 directly here?

It doesn't seem to me I'm providing the types of 1103 concerns that you're worried about in terms of your liability. It looks to me like that's more of a roadblock. And that's why I'm asking that question.

MR. IAFFALDANO: I don't think it's --

THE COURT: Why do we have that at all?

MR. IAFFALDANO: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: No, no.

6

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MR. IAFFALDANO: I don't think it's meant to be a 23 roadblock, Your Honor. I think it's -- I don't think anything in this motion or in an order would prevent an interested party from coming directly to you if that's the route that they

wanted to go. We just wanted to have a procedure set up by $2 \parallel$ which they could request information through us directly.

THE COURT: So let's look at that because if that's 4 what it's doing, then I'm misreading it. And I want to open up 5 the proposed order, and I'll put it up on the screen and show 6 you where my concern is.

(Pause)

3

7

8

9

10

11

15

20

THE COURT: So I think it's in paragraph E. (Pause)

THE COURT: It talks about you have to respond within 30 days. And then it says the requesting creditor may after I good-faith effort to meet and confer seek to compel disclosure. So they have to wait the 30 days. You know, they have to 14 confer, all that stuff. Why are we doing that to them?

MR. IAFFALDANO: Your Honor, I think we are -- this is mostly protecting information that would be deemed as professionals eyes only. So in that regard, I think it makes more sense to -- for the creditor seeking that information to 19 go through the party who is in possession of that information.

THE COURT: I think it does make some sense, but this doesn't let them come around it. And it's not that I don't want to encourage people to do it. I think you've gone a step 23 too far. And we'll go through other things, but on this one, 24 what I would suggest you do is -- and I really do want to hear 25 \parallel if I'm overstepping. These are hard lines to draw.

I think telling people that you will respond within $2 \parallel 30$ days and that I'm encouraging them to go through you is all just find. It's a good process you've got. It's the part that 4 says they can't come to court until after they do that that I 5 think doesn't serve the purpose of your motion. It serves to 6 restrict people, and that's where my concern is. So that's the first point. And I think you can fix that and preserve what you're trying to preserve without maybe stepping on people a little bit.

Second I have is --

1

3

9

10

11

12

17

18

20

21

23

MR. IAFFALDANO: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- this binds creditors to your 13 confidentiality agreements throughout. There's a whole bunch of provisions that say if you enter into a confidentiality agreement, the beneficiaries of your Committee are stuck with your decision. And I can point you to lots of places where it does that. Why?

I mean you entered in a confidentiality agreement and 19∥let's say I think you -- just assume for a minute. And, first of all, I doubt this will happen. But assume I think that you shouldn't have. Why am I vesting the Committee with the right to take away the rights that Congress gave to beneficiaries?

I don't have a problem that if you've entered into a 24 confidentiality agreement that I have to rule on it before you 25 \parallel have to breach the confidentiality agreement. But I think

1 you've thrown up roadblocks here that give some presumption $2 \parallel$ about that, you know, that -- why are we doing that? Again, $3 \parallel$ this is not -- what's awkward about this is the situations 4 you're describing are very sensible policies that I wish people 5 and hoped people will follow. But I'm trying to be consistent 6 with this provision of the Code.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Absolutely, Your Honor. You know, 8 to the extent that we may be imposing our own confidentiality agreements on other unsecured creditors, I don't think that 10 \parallel that's really the intention. I think that we are -- we 11 wouldn't be receiving certain information from the debtors 12 dbsent of entering into confidentiality agreements with them. So I think that that's really just meant to protect the Committee from inadvertently violating those agreements which 15 were negotiated between the Committee members and the debtors.

THE COURT: Right. So take a look for a minute at 1102(b)(3)(C). That implies to me -- and I don't think it's 18∥perfect language -- that even if you enter into a confidentiality agreement, the Court can still order you to 20 turn over the information.

MR. IAFFALDANO: That's also how read that 22 subsection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah. And I think that there are just 24 too many places throughout here where you limit that. 25 \parallel got it that you need to get the confidential information. But

7

16

21

1 the people who are providing it to you are simply going to need 2 to trust the process of you and they enter into a 3 confidentiality agreement. Somebody else can come in and upset I got no problem that, you know, I'm not the final arbiter 5 of that. You know, there are people in Washington D.C. that $6\parallel$ sit on Third Street or whatever it is that are the final arbitration of that.

But, you know, they don't need to be bound by my order. They can appeal it. They can go to the Fifth Circuit. They can go to the Supreme Court. I'm not looking to be god on this. But I think that we have to be careful to preserve 12 people's rights without bias to enforce 1102(b)(3). And I would ask you to think of how to -- if you look through this, 14 \parallel this implicates like five or six different paragraphs.

And I just want to be careful on all of that to where 16 confidentiality in a bankruptcy case is supposed to be open with a committee that's supposed to be representing beneficiaries. It's difficult, and we need to be sure we keep 19 \parallel openness and that we respect this provision. But I'm not --

MR. IAFFALDANO: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But I'm not suggesting that the Committee shouldn't enter into confidentiality agreements and keep its word. You know, and I'm not looking to have the Committee break its word. The Committee is welcome to come in. there are certain provisions -- let me ask what happens if the

8

9

15

20

1 Committee enters into a confidentiality agreement and then $2 \parallel$ decides somebody really should have the information. In other 3 words, y'all look at what you did and you say we shouldn't have 4 done that. I think this then still gives people -- they don't 5 get the data because you entered into the confidentiality 6 agreement.

And I think right here -- let's see. Let me bring it back in for a minute.

(Pause)

7

8

9

10

19

22

23

THE COURT: This says what happens if you think that 11 you have a duty to disclose it under the statute. And really $12 \parallel$ it sets up this long and arduous process, burdensome on the 13 beneficiary, to get material that you think they're entitled to 14 receive under 1102. That just can't be. I mean this isn't one 15∥ where you're siding with the party you entered in the confidentiality agreement with. You're saying, look, we did enter into it, but we still think the creditor ought to get the 18 information.

I just want to -- I want you to think through that, 20 and I want a more nuanced way to deal with it. And I -- again, 21 I don't want this --

MR. IAFFALDANO: Understood.

THE COURT: I don't want this misinterpreted that I 24 don't think committees ought to do confidentiality agreements. 25∥ I think they have to. I think you're never going to get your

1 job done if you don't do that. Not what I'm going for. But if $2 \parallel \text{you look}$ at this language, it's a very difficult question on 3 how to resolve it. And, you know, it may be that if you think 4 somebody needs the information and they think they need the 5 information and realistically, they need it right away, but 6 you've put up some roadblocks here that you shouldn't impose on yourself because of your responsibilities. So I need you to think through this very hard.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Understood, Your Honor. 10∥ absolutely consider how to best modify that with that in mind and revise the motion accordingly.

THE COURT: Paragraph 2 says, "The Committee and the 13 parties shall be deemed being in compliance with Sections 14 1102(b)(3) and 1103(c) of the Bankruptcy Code by implementing the creditor information protocol." I didn't understand the reference to 1103(c). This is a much easier question. I just didn't understand this.

MR. IAFFALDANO: I believe it's just to ensure that 19∥the Committee has a need to satisfy its duties as a statutory 20 committee.

THE COURT: And I get it how you're trying to get 22 \parallel that on 1102(b)(3), but nothing that you've done in here seems 23 to me to implicate 1103(c). I have a feeling that somebody 24 wrote this in an earlier order and nobody has reviewed it again. But you can tell me I'm wrong about that.

8

9

11

12

17

18

3

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

19

20

21

2.2

23

MR. IAFFALDANO: That's possible, Your Honor. $2 \parallel$ believe that most of the language in this order and in the information protocol is based on the order that you entered in 4 EP Energy. But I'd have to double check that. 5 specifically with respect to that reference to 1103(c).

THE COURT: That's fair. Let me let you do that, and I'm trying to improve even if I made a mistake in EP Energy. So I'm not suggesting I haven't made a mistake before in a similar situation. But you see me on a bunch of these, I suspect, really resist doing them. And you really want this enough that you sent out the notice, and I'm going to get you an order but I'm going to now -- I'm going to --

MR. IAFFALDANO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- I'm going to be more careful about my 15 wwn mistakes. So let's see if it's the right thing to do.

Paragraph 5, the last sentence, this can't be. I mean this says to me if there's confidential information to which 1102(b)(3) requires you to provide that to one of your beneficiaries, then I'm excusing you of -- not excusing you, I am overriding the statute and saying you don't have to. That just can't be.

Do you see my concern that I have there?

MR. IAFFALDANO: I do. I think it's really just to 24 protect Committee members from inadvertent violations of their confidentiality agreements.

THE COURT: Yeah, but read that sentence.

MR. IAFFALDANO: But --

1

2

3

9

10

12

15

17

19

21

THE COURT: I got what you're trying to get to, but 4 read that sentence. And I don't think that's what you need. 5 It just can't be that notwithstanding the proper construction 6 of 1102(b)(3) to the contrary, the Committee and its members and its counsel aren't required to disseminate confidential 8 information. I mean if 1102(b)(3) says you have to disseminate confidential information, then you do. And this says if it says you have to distribute it, then you don't. And that can't 11 be what you mean here.

By the way, you know Mr. Pasquale knew you were going 13 through this hearing and he was making you do this anyway? I'm just going to -- I'm going to try and get this to where maybe we can end up with an order that other people can use too. So that's why I'm giving you a hard time.

MR. IAFFALDANO: No, I definitely understand, Your 18 Honor. If that sentence is an issue, we can certainly remove it. I'm not entirely sure how else we could capture that idea 20 in a better way.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, look, I'm willing to say 22∥that so long as you are in compliance with the order, you're 23 not violating 1102(b)(3). But this says that you don't have to 24 produce under 1102(b)(3) confidential information, period, end 25∥ of sentence. That's not what you mean. I think what you mean

1 is more what I'm saying, which is if you do what this order 2 requires and what future orders require, then you're not in violation of 1102(b)(3). This is essentially an implantations of 1102(b)(3), but this sentence is I think written as an 5 override to 1102(b)(3). And that's where my concern is.

MR. IAFFALDANO: I see.

THE COURT: But, again, not only do I think that 8 Committees need to deal in confidential information and people 9 need to have confidence when the Committee says they're going 10∥ to keep stuff confidential, we need good people serving on Committees who aren't going to be subjected to liability for 12 their good-faith compliance with court orders. And so the last thing I want this discussion you and I are having is to be interpreted that says Isgur doesn't think we ought to keep stuff confidential, Isgur thinks people ought to be subject to liability. I'm not saying that.

But I think if we issue orders that are contrary to 18 the Code, the whole order could get upset and we can end up 19 with a bigger mess than no order at all. And so that's why I'm trying to be careful and maybe use this as a bit of modeling for me.

So I'd said before that there were provisions in here that I thought you just needed to review the whole thing for confidentiality. Look at paragraph 6.

(Pause)

6

7

15

17

20

21

22

24

8

9

16

21

22

THE COURT: This doesn't say -- it's one thing to say 2 that you have no liability so long as you act in compliance $3\parallel$ with the procedures set forth herein, but it then says "and any 4 confidentiality agreement." So if you act in accordance with a 5 confidentiality agreement but not in compliance with this $6\parallel$ order, what have you got? I don't know, but I don't like the sentence. It seems to elevate confidentiality over duty and order.

And I had similar concerns in paragraph 8. Yeah, 10 that says if you have any doubt which controls, the 11 confidentiality agreement or the order, confidentiality $12\parallel$ agreements control over the order. I don't think you want to say that. I don't think you meant to say that. But I think that's a fair reading of it that nothing in this order affects $15\,$ the obligations under confidentiality agreements.

So what I would suggest is that you can try and edit this order if you want, but I -- first of all, I think you 18 understand where I'm coming from. Let me just ask if you don't, that's fair and tell me. And I want to have a colloquy 20 with you about it. But if you do --

> MR. IAFFALDANO: No.

THE COURT: I wonder if you want to start with just a clean slate. And, you know, we'll get your proper interpretation of how to do this. You're welcome to edit this and, you know, send me up a redline order. You're also welcome 1 to start from scratch if starting over will give us a cleaner $2 \parallel$ thing. Don't worry too much about what I've done before. $3 \parallel \text{Let's just get this done right and, you know, it can be the}$ 4 Iaffaldano order.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Absolutely, Your Honor. We'll think $6\parallel$ about whether we want to just edit this or start completely from scratch. We'll get you a revised order soon.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you do me a favor because this is, I think you can tell, pretty important to me to get 10∥ this done right? When you get that revised order filed, would you contact Ms. Do so that she can put it in my emergency box so I can try and deal with it within a day of when you file it?

MR. IAFFALDANO: Will do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions for me about 15 where my concerns are?

MR. IAFFALDANO: No. I think I definitely understand your concerns. Yeah, I think it's clear.

THE COURT: And I'm going to just give you sort of an 19 open right to take a shot at me. Am I getting this wrong?

MR. IAFFALDANO: No. I don't think so, Your Honor. I think, you know, we are just concerned about the legal exposure that Committee members might face absent this type of release. We just don't want them to be put in a situation where they may be faced with a decision between potentially 25∥ violating their statutory obligations under Section 1102 versus

5

8

13

14

16

18

1 violating the confidentiality agreements that they've entered 2 into with the debtors.

So I think what we're trying to do here is just find $4 \parallel$ a happy medium there. So I don't think anything that you've --5 any of your suggestions changes (indiscernible) -- and I don't 6 think that it affects that tremendously. So --

THE COURT: Do you think --

3

7

8

9

10

16

19

21

25

MR. IAFFALDANO: -- I don't think it would be an issue.

THE COURT: And I know you haven't written it yet, 11 \parallel but do you think there is a good way to both protect the 12 Committee's ability to obtain confidential information and 13 protect your constituents' rights to your review things? Can 14 we strike that in a written order? We going to be able to get 15 there?

MR. IAFFALDANO: I think we can certainly try. We'll have to think about that some more and decide how we want to 18 precisely word it.

THE COURT: Okay. Look, I appreciate your putting up 20 with this today. It's an unusual hearing because there's no objection. You're having to deal with me, and I'm not being terribly cooperative with you. But it isn't because you don't deserve the cooperation. I just need to get this done right. 24 So thank you for doing that.

MR. IAFFALDANO: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Unless there's something else --1 2 MR. IAFFALDANO: Much appreciated, Your Honor. Thank 3 you very much. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. Unless there's something 5 else, I'm going to move ahead to my 5 o'clock hearing in just a 6 moment. But if there's anything else you want to take up, we can take up something else. MR. IAFFALDANO: No. I believe that's all. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pasquale, anything else 10 from you? I think you've got your line muted, Mr. Pasquale. 11 Anything else from you? You're still muted. You're 12 \parallel still muted. Oh, wait. There we go. Somehow it got remuted during the middle of the hearing. I don't know what happened. I had you open. Try it now. Get it? No. 15 Hold on. I'll get it. This is my -- there. Okay, 16 you're unmuted now. I'm sorry. 17 MR. PASQUALE: And all I was trying to say, Your 18 Honor, is thank you for the time. We appreciate your input, 19 and we will work on the order. So thank you. 20 THE COURT: Does he get a new laptop? 21 MR. PASQUALE: We will have to talk about that after the hearing, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 24 MR. PASQUALE: Thank you.

MR. IAFFALDANO: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. (Proceedings concluded at 4:58 p.m.)

3 4

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

21 22

24

25

CERTIFICATION

We, Dipti Patel and Alicia Jarrett, court-approved 12 transcribers, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct 13 transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the 14 proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of our abilities.

DIPTI PATEL, AAERT NO. 997 DATE: December 17, 2020

AAERT NO. 428

20 ACCESS TRANSCRIPTS, LLC

DATE: December 18, 2020

ACCESS TRANSCRIPTS, LLC

ALICIA JARRETT,